HSF1 Activation Can Restrict HIV Replication

Emmanuel E. Nekongo,[†] Anna I. Ponomarenko,[†] Mahender B. Dewal, Vincent L. Butty, Edward P. Browne, and Matthew D. Shoulders*



Moreover, HIV-1 was unable to escape HSF1-mediated restriction over the course of several serial passages. These results clarify the interplay between the host's heat shock response and HIV-1 infection and motivate continued investigation of chaperones as potential antiviral therapeutic targets.

KEYWORDS: human immunodeficiency virus-1 (HIV-1), heat shock factor 1 (HSF1), heat shock response (HSR), cytosolic proteostasis, protein folding and assembly

H uman immunodeficiency virus-1 (HIV-1) remains a serious global health threat, with approximately 37 million people currently living with HIV/AIDS.¹ While the number of HIV-related deaths continues to decline, owing to advances in treatment and prevention strategies in the past decades,² the epidemic still claims nearly one million lives annually. The problems of latent infection and drug resistance remain, as does the continued failure to develop an effective HIV vaccine.

decreased both the total quantity and infectivity of HIV-1 virions.

With respect to the development of new therapeutic modalities impervious to antiviral resistance mechanisms, not just for HIV but also for other RNA viruses, the alternative strategy of targeting host systems instead of the rapidly mutating virus itself has gained increasing traction.^{3,4} As a minimalistic pathogen, HIV-1 requires complex interactions with host systems for replication.^{5,6} A clear understanding of the intimate interplay between the host and the virus is essential to provide an effective roadmap for viable, host-targeted antiviral therapeutics.^{4,7}

Stress responses evolved to defend cells against damaging internal and external stimuli. In some cases, stress responses can provide defenses against invading pathogens. However, numerous viral pathogens have also developed strategies to take advantage of these same host stress signaling pathways. A prominent example of the latter is the cellular heat shock response (HSR), which is responsible for maintaining proteostasis in the cytosol and nucleus.⁸ The HSR is controlled by its master regulator, the heat shock factor 1 (HSF1) transcription factor. High levels of HSF1 activity can be triggered by a variety of stressors, including protein misfolding in the cytosol. HSF1-mediated upregulation of numerous heat shock protein (HSP) chaperones and quality control proteins serves to restore proteostasis, after which HSF1 activity is reduced to basal levels.⁹ Many host chaperones, including HSP70 and HSP90, are hijacked by diverse viruses to assist viral protein folding and thereby enable virion production.^{10–13} Inhibition of these same chaperones can suppress viral replication.^{14–18} Moreover, chaperones can potentiate the evolution of viral proteins. Changes in cellular proteostasis capacity can modulate viral evolutionary trajectories^{19–21} and even define the accessibility of destabilized viral protein variants that can enable innate immune system escape.²²

Hence, host HSF1 activity and the functions of HSF1regulated host chaperones are often beneficial for viruses.^{10-13,19-21} However, this conclusion derives largely from studies on just a few viruses, including influenza, Dengue,

Received: March 30, 2020 **Published:** June 5, 2020





pubs.acs.org/journal/aidcbc



Figure 1. Stress-independent cHSF1 activation decreases HIV-1 replication and the infectivity of produced virions. (A) Chemical genetic tool for stress-independent, small molecule-regulated activation of HSF1. Treatment of CEM^{cHSF1} cells with dox induces expression of cHSF1, which constitutively trimerizes and upregulates the expression of HSF1 target genes in the absence of acute proteostatic stress. (B) qPCR analysis of Hsp90 (*HSP90AA1*), Hsp70 (*HSPA1A*), and Hsp40 (*DNAJB1*) expression in CEM^{cHSF1} after 18 h of treatment with 1 μ g/mL dox or 2 h of treatment with 100 μ M sodium arsenite as a positive heat shock control. (C) Fold-change in p24 titers after 96 h of HIV-1 infection at an MOI of 0.04 in CEM^{cHSF1} and CEM^{Ctrl} cells, treated with 1 μ g/mL dox, relative to vehicle-treated cells. (D) Schematic of a timecourse infection and total p24 viral titers during different infection time points in CEM^{cHSF1} and CEM^{Ctrl} cells. (E) Fold-change in infectious TZM-bl titers after 96 h of HIV-1 propagation in CEM^{cHSF1} and CEM^{Ctrl} cells, treated with 1 μ g/mL dox, relative to vehicle-treated cells. *, **, ***, and ns correspond to *p*-values < 0.05, < 0.001, < 0.0001, and not significant, respectively.

Zika, and polio, with limited studies on retroviruses. Similar phenomena might be expected for retroviruses, which also have high mutation rates and a need to fold their proteins. On the other hand, the requirement for host genome integration in particular adds an additional step that could be differentially influenced by HSF1 and other HSPs.

Prior work has suggested an intimate role for the host cell's HSR in multiple steps of the HIV-1 lifecycle. The complexity of HSF1 engagement during HIV-1 replication is perhaps best illustrated by HSF1's apparent ability to either assist^{12,23-26} or restrict^{27,28} HIV-1 propagation, depending on the method used to perturb HSF1 activity. For example, heat stress stimulates HIV-1 gene transcription²³ and viral replication.^{25,26} In other work, transient overexpression of wild-type HSF1 assisted HIV-1 generation²⁴ and reactivation from latency,¹² while HSF1 knockdown proved deleterious for HIV-1 production. Alternatively, transient overexpression of a constitutively active variant of HSF1 suppressed long terminal repeat (LTR)-driven viral transcription²⁷ and downregulated HIV-induced inflammation.²⁸ Similarly, the reported roles of individual HSF1controlled chaperones in HIV-1 replication extensively vary between different experimental systems.²⁹⁻³⁶ In sum, although the details are still unclear, there is clearly a complicated interplay between the host's HSR and the HIV-1 lifecycle.

Our objective was to isolate the direct effects of HSF1 activation from the indirect effects of the cellular stressors that are traditionally used to activate HSF1, thereby gaining a clear understanding of the consequences of HSF1 activity for HIV-1

replication. The achievement of this goal requires a tool for stress-independent HSF1 activation. Heat induction of HSF1 activity is unsuitable because heat is a pleiotropic stress that causes acute and severe protein misfolding throughout the proteome. Genetic methods are preferred as they avoid HSR activation; however, the extent of HSF1 activation is limited by cellular compensation mechanisms. For example, overexpression of wild-type HSF1 increases the protein levels of the transcription factor, but the excess HSF1 protein is subject to chaperone-mediated regulation and is thus kept in an inactive state.³⁷ Genetic HSF1 knockdown is also inefficient, owing to compensating proteostasis mechanisms.³⁸ Finally, unregulated overexpression of constitutively active HSF1 variants must be employed with great caution to avoid nonphysiologic levels of HSF1 induction and consequent pleiotropic remodeling of the transcriptome.³⁹ Chemical methods for directly regulating HSF1 activity are preferred.^{40–42}

We first sought to generate a system in which stressindependent, small molecule-mediated induction of HSF1 activity was possible. We engineered a stable, single-colony human T lymphocyte (CEM) cell line in which the expression of a constitutively active variant of HSF1 (cHSF1)^{39,43} was placed under control of the doxycycline (dox)-dependent tetracycline (tet) repressor.³⁹ Treatment of the resulting CEM^{cHSF1} cell line (Figure 1A) with dox resulted in the expression of HSF1 target genes, as demonstrated by the increased transcript levels of HSP90, HSP70, and HSP40 (Figure 1B). The single colony cell line was carefully chosen to

1660

Letter

ensure that the upregulation of these downstream chaperones was similar in magnitude to that caused by HSF1 activation by the prototypical chemical stressor As(III)⁴⁴ (Figure 1B), ensuring that HSF1 activity was not induced beyond physiologically accessible levels.³⁹ We also generated a fluorescent control cell line (CEM^{Ctrl}) in which cyan fluorescent protein (CFP) expression was similarly placed under control of the tet repressor.

With CEM^{cHSF1} and CEM^{CH1} cell lines in hand, we next sought to test whether stress-independent HSF1 activation impacted HIV-1 replication. We began by treating CEM^{cHSF1} and CEM^{Ctrl} cells with dox for 18 h to activate cHSF1 or CFP expression, respectively. Next, we infected these preactivated cells with NL4-3 HIV-1 at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.04 for 96 h, followed by harvesting the infectious supernatant and titering using a p24 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA).

We observed that cHSF1 activation significantly reduced total p24 viral titers relative to cells with basal HSF1 activity (Figure 1C). In contrast, dox-induced expression of CFP in the CEM^{Ctrl} cell line did not alter p24 titers, showing that the result was attributable to cHSF1 activity and not to dox treatment. We further assessed infection kinetics by harvesting the viral supernatant at successive time points and titering using the p24 assay. The relative difference in p24 titers between cHSF1-activated versus vehicle-treated CEM^{cHSF1} cells became more pronounced as the infection progressed, with no significant difference observed in dox- versus vehicletreated CEM^{Ctrl} cells at any time point (Figure 1D). Finally, we used the TZM-bl assay⁴⁵ to quantify the infectious titers of collected viral supernatants. Successful infection of reporter TZM-bl cells activates the expression of β -galactosidase in an HIV-1 Tat-dependent manner, turning reporter cells blue in the presence of a 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-p-D-galactopyranoside (X-Gal) chromogenic substrate. The fraction of stained cells is then proportional to the number of infectious viral particles.⁴⁵ We observed that, as also occurred with the p24 titers, infectious titers were indeed decreased by cHSF1 activation in CEM^{cHSF1} cells, whereas they did not change upon CFP activation in CEM^{Ctrl} cells (Figure 1E).

The high mutation rate of HIV-1 often promotes rapid escape from inhibitory pressure. Therefore, we next asked whether continuous propagation of HIV-1 under pressure from cHSF1 activity would result in rapid antiviral escape. We performed three serial passages in cHSF1-activated versus vehicle-treated CEM^{cHSF1} cells (Figure 2A). At each passage, the preactivated cells were infected at an MOI of 0.04 for 96 h, followed by harvesting the viral supernatant and titering. The infectious (TZM-bl) titers were used to initiate the subsequent passage at the same MOI. Notably, both total and infectious viral titers were still decreased in +cHSF1 cells relative to vehicle-treated cells even after the third serial passage (Figure 2B,C), indicating that the virus cannot readily adapt to cHSF1mediated replication restriction.

One potential trivial explanation for HSF1's effect on HIV-1 replication could be cytotoxicity. We assessed cell health in the conditions of our viral propagation experiments by using a CellTiter-Glo assay. We observed that cellular ATP levels were not significantly altered by stress-independent cHSF1 activation (Figure 3A).

We next asked whether the observed inhibition of HIV-1 was specific to the HSR or could be replicated by stressindependent activation of other protein misfolding stress





Figure 2. HIV-1 does not adapt to escape HSF1 activation over the course of three serial passages. (A) Schematic of NL4-3 HIV-1 serial passaging. CEM^{cHSF1} cells were pretreated with 1 μ g/mL dox for 18 h prior to infection with NL4-3 HIV-1 for 96 h at an MOI of 0.04. Infectious titers of the viral supernatant were determined using the TZM-bl assay, and then the supernatant was used to infect the subsequent passage at the same MOI. (B) Fold-change in total p24 and (C) infectious TZM-bl titers at each passage. *, **, ***, and **** correspond to *p*-values < 0.05, < 0.01, < 0.001, and <0.0001, respectively.



Figure 3. Decreased HIV-1 replication is not attributable to HSF1induced cytotoxicity. (A) Cellular ATP levels upon 1 μ g/mL dox treatment of CEM^{CHSF1} and CEM^{Ctrl} cells for 96 h, as assessed using a CellTiter-Glo assay. (B) Total p24 viral titers upon 1 μ g/mL dox and 10 μ M TMP treatment of CEM^{DAX} and CEM^{Ctrl} cell lines.

responses. We engineered a stable cell line, termed CEM^{DAX}, in which the IRE1-XBP1s and ATF6 arms of the unfolded protein response (UPR), which is responsible for maintaining proteostasis in the secretory pathway,⁴⁶ could be activated by small molecules in a stress-independent manner. Our approach was to render XBP1s expression dox-inducible by placing the *XBP1s* gene under control of the tetracycline promoter.⁴⁷ To control the ATF6 arm of the UPR, we fused the transcriptionally active form of ATF6 to an *Escherichia coli* dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) destabilized domain.⁴⁸

Treatment of CEM^{DAX} cells with trimethoprim (TMP) stabilizes the DHFR domain, resulting in ATF6 transcriptional activity. This strategy is well-established for stress-independent control of the IRE1-XBP1s and ATF6 arms of the unfolded protein response.^{21,41,49-54} We verified the selective, doxdependent induction of XBP1s target genes and the selective, TMP-dependent induction of ATF6 target genes in CEM^{DAX} cells using qPCR (Figure S1).49 We also employed a fluorescent control CEM^{Ctrl} cell line stably engineered to express dox-inducible CFP and E. coli DHFR-fused yellow fluorescent protein (YFP). We then pretreated CEMDAX and CEM^{Ctrl} cells with dox and TMP for 18 h to activate the corresponding constructs, infected the cells with HIV-1 at an MOI of 0.04, and measured the resulting p24 titers after 96 h. No significant change in p24 titers was observed upon dox and TMP treatment in either the CEM^{DAX} or the CEM^{Ctrl} cells (Figure 3B). Thus, HSF1-mediated abrogation of HIV-1 replication is a specific feature of HSR activation, not a general consequence of inducing protein misfolding stress responses.

Next, we used RNA-Seq to globally assess transcriptome remodeling owing to cHSF1 activation in CEM^{cHSF1} cells. In particular, we were interested in whether or not stress-independent cHSF1 induction might elicit an antiviral response in CEM^{cHSF1} cells. As expected, given the specificity of our stress-independent, chemical induction of cHSF1, the most prominent upregulated genes were all well-known components of the HSR (Figures 4 and S2, Table S1).³⁹ Also, as expected, no significant induction of UPR target genes was observed (Figure 4 and Table S1).



Figure 4. Heat map showing the differential expression of select proteostasis genes upon stress-independent cHSF1 activation with 1 μ g/mL dox for 18 h in CEM^{cHSF1} cells relative to vehicle treatment.

We applied gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA)⁵⁵ (Table S2) to better understand key features of the transcriptome remodeling caused by cHSF1 activation. We observed that known HSR-related gene sets were massively enriched (MSigDB c5 collection; Figure 5A and Table S2A,B). Furthermore, the HSF1-binding motif itself was strongly enriched upstream of genes that were found to be responsive to stress-independent cHSF1 activation (MSigDB c3 collection; Figure S3 and Table S2C). However, we did not observe any significant enrichment of antiviral restriction factors using the MSigDB c5 collection (see Figure 5B for example

enrichment plots and Table S2A,B). Similarly, when other functional databases regrouped in the MSigDB c2 collections were interrogated, viral- and interferon-response pathways tended either not to display any bias or even to be enriched among downregulated gene sets (Table S2D,E).

These observations suggest that stress-independent HSF1 activation in CEM^{cHSF1} cells does not inhibit HIV-1 replication by inducing a general antiviral response. We next examined individual genes within the broad gene ontology group "Defense Response to Virus" (Table S3). While typical components of the general antiviral defense response, including many interferon-related genes, were not enriched or even downregulated, we were intrigued to note that the most upregulated gene in the entire gene set was ZC3HAV1. ZC3HAV1 encodes the zinc finger antiviral protein ZAP (also known as PARP13) and was upregulated 3.2-fold in our RNA-Seq experiment upon cHSF1 induction. ZAP is known to restrict the replication of multiple viruses, particularly including HIV-1,^{56,57} by targeting viral mRNA in the cytoplasm for degradation.⁵⁸ ZAP can also bind HSF1⁵⁹ and assist HSF1 binding to DNA prior to heat shock.⁶⁰ Indeed, the first intron of ZAP possesses an HSF1-binding motif, located in a putative chromatin regulatory region denoted by a peak of H3K27-acetylated histones, as reported by the Encyclopedia of DNA Elements (ENCODE) consortium in an immortalized Bcell line (chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)-Seq EN-CODE track on the UCSC Genome Browser).⁶¹ We used qPCR to confirm that the induction of cHSF1 in $\ensuremath{\mathsf{CEM}^{\text{cHSF1}}}$ cells indeed triggered upregulation of ZAP mRNA (Figure S4). On the basis of these observations, ZAP induction is likely to contribute to cHSF1-mediated inhibition of HIV-1 replication.

Although ZAP induction may play a role in the inhibition of HIV-1 replication, the key finding from our RNA-Seq analysis was that cHSF1 activation largely drives a transcriptional remodeling of the cellular chaperone network, with minimal impacts on immune responses and traditional viral restriction factors. A number of these chaperones have been implicated in the HIV lifecycle and play important roles in viral protein folding and assembly.⁶²⁻⁶⁴ Thus, it is possible that the remodeled cytosolic and nuclear proteostasis network, which did not evolve to support HIV-1 replication but rather to ensure cellular proteostasis, might disrupt these steps in the lifecycle by diverting viral proteins from function or the orchestrated virion assembly process. In this regard, it is noteworthy that comparing the total (p24) to the infectious (TZM-bl) viral titers, we observed that the fraction of produced virions that are infection-competent significantly decreased upon cHSF1 activation (Figure 6). This observation is consistent with cHSF1 activation disrupting steps in the viral lifecycle such as viral protein folding and/or virion assembly that could lead to the production of a larger fraction of defective viral particles. Because host chaperones not only directly modulate viral protein folding and assembly but also participate in earlier steps of the viral replication cycle, such as nuclear import,³⁴ genome integration,⁶⁵ and transcription,^{33,36} we do not exclude the possibility of additional inhibitory roles of the cHSF1-remodeled proteostasis network in these processes.

In summary, the use of a chemically controlled cHSF1 construct allowed us to investigate the direct consequences of HSF1 activation at physiologically relevant levels, eliminating the requirement for inducing global protein misfolding while also avoiding the off-target consequences of cHSF1 over-

pubs.acs.org/journal/aidcbc



GSEA enrichment plots for cHSF1 activation in CEM^{CHSF1} cells

Figure 5. Stress-independent HSF1 induction activates heat shock response genes and does not trigger a broad-scale antiviral response. (A) Selected gene set enrichment plots for heat shock response-related and (B) antiviral response-related gene sets in CEM^{cHSF1} cells treated with 1 μ g/mL doxycycline for 18 h to induce cHSF1. These enrichment plots are drawn from the MSigDB c5 collection. See Table S2 for the complete gene set enrichment analysis.



Figure 6. HSF1 reduces infectivity of newly produced virions. Foldchange in infectious TZM-bl titers per ng of p24 after 96 h of HIV-1 infection at an MOI of 0.04 in CEM^{CHSF1} and CEM^{Ctrl} cells treated with 1 μ g/mL dox, relative to vehicle-treated cells. ** and ns correspond to *p*-values < 0.01 and not significant, respectively.

expression. We were also able to avoid the complications associated with transient overexpression of HSF1 or cHSF1,^{39,42} including off-target gene induction, which convoluted prior studies. Using this approach, we demonstrated that stress-independent HSF1 activation restricts HIV-1 replication in CEM cells. When cHSF1 was activated, fewer

total HIV-1 virions were produced and the proportion of infectious virions was also lowered. Moreover, cHSF1mediated inhibition of HIV-1 replication persisted through three consecutive serial passages without detectable recovery of viral titers, suggesting that escape mechanisms are not readily available to the virus. The effects of cHSF1 activation were HSR-specific and not attributable to reductions in host cell health, off-target cHSF1 activity, or activation of protein misfolding stress responses in general.

The exact molecular mechanisms of HSF1-mediated restriction of HIV-1 replication remain an important subject for further study and are likely multifactorial. First, viral transcripts are known to be targeted to degradation by the HSF1-controlled host restriction factor ZAP, which has an HSF1-binding promoter and was transcriptionally upregulated in our system despite the absence of a general antiviral response induced by cHSF1. Second, cHSF1 activation reduces the infectivity of newly formed virions. This observation suggests that the remodeled host chaperone network promotes the formation of defective viral particles. While deciphering the origins of HSF1-mediated inhibition of HIV-1 replication and elucidating *in vivo* relevance of these findings requires future investigation, this work clearly implicates HSF1 as a host antiviral restriction factor for HIV-1 and motivates continued consideration of host HSR-targeted therapeutics to address retroviral infections.

METHODS

Detailed protocols for the following procedures can be found in the Supporting Information: stable cell line construction, quantitative RT-PCR, HIV-1 infection, p24 assays, TZM-bl assays, CellTiter-Glo viability assays, RNA-Seq, GSEA, and statistical analyses.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsinfecdis.0c00166.

Complete experimental methods; selective induction of XBP1s and/or ATF6 target genes in CEM^{DAX} cells; transcriptional profile of the HSF1-activated host environment; heat shock factor motif enrichment upon cHSF1 induction; induction of cHSF1 activating ZAP transcription; sequences of RT-PCR primers used (PDF)

RNA-Seq characterization of CEM^{cHSF1} cells: Differential expression analysis of the HSF1-activated environment (XLSX)

RNA-Seq characterization of CEM^{cHSF1} cells: Gene set enrichment analysis (XLSX)

Gene list for the "Defense Response to Virus" gene ontology group (XLSX)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Matthew D. Shoulders – Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, United States; © orcid.org/0000-0002-6511-3431; Phone: (617) 452-3525; Email: mshoulde@ mit.edu

Authors

Emmanuel E. Nekongo – Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, United States

Anna I. Ponomarenko – Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, United States

Mahender B. Dewal – Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, United States

- Vincent L. Butty BioMicro Center, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, United States
- Edward P. Browne Department of Medicine, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27516, United States

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsinfecdis.0c00166

Author Contributions

^TE.E.N. and A.I.P. contributed equally to this work. E.E.N. and M.D.S. conceived the project. E.E.N., A.I.P., M.B.D., E.P.B., and M.D.S. designed the experiments. E.E.N., A.I.P., and M.B.D. performed the experiments. E.E.N., A.I.P., V.L.B., E.P.B., and M.D.S. analyzed the data. M.D.S. supervised the research. A.I.P. drafted the manuscript. All authors edited and approved the manuscript.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Smith Family Foundation Award for Excellence in Biomedical Research, the National Science Foundation (CAREER Award 1652390), the National Institutes of Health (Grants 1DP2GM119162 and 1R35GM136354) to M.D.S., the MIT Center for Environmental Health Sciences (P30-ES002109), and the Koch Institute (P30-CA14051). E.E.N. was supported by a UNCF-Merck Postdoctoral Fellowship.

REFERENCES

(1) World Health Organization (accessed 2019-06-03) Summary of the global HIV epidemic (2017), https://www.who.int/hiv/data/2017 summary-global-hiv-epidemic.png?ua=1.

(2) Cihlar, T., and Fordyce, M. (2016) Current status and prospects of HIV treatment. *Curr. Opin. Virol.* 18, 50–56.

(3) Jean, M. J., Fiches, G., Hayashi, T., and Zhu, J. (2019) Current strategies for elimination of HIV-1 latent reservoirs using chemical compounds targeting host and viral factors. *AIDS Res. Hum. Retroviruses* 35, 1–24.

(4) Park, R. J., Wang, T., Koundakjian, D., Hultquist, J. F., Lamothe-Molina, P., Monel, B., Schumann, K., Yu, H., Krupzcak, K. M., Garcia-Beltran, W., et al. (2017) A genome-wide CRISPR screen identifies a restricted set of HIV host dependency factors. *Nat. Genet.* 49, 193.

(5) Brass, A. L., Dykxhoorn, D. M., Benita, Y., Yan, N., Engelman, A., Xavier, R. J., Lieberman, J., and Elledge, S. J. (2008) Identification of host proteins required for HIV infection through a functional genomic screen. *Science* 319, 921–926.

(6) Jaeger, S., Cimermancic, P., Gulbahce, N., Johnson, J. R., McGovern, K. E., Clarke, S. C., Shales, M., Mercenne, G., Pache, L., Li, K., et al. (2012) Global landscape of HIV-human protein complexes. *Nature 481*, 365.

(7) Arhel, N., and Kirchhoff, F. (2010) Host proteins involved in HIV infection: new therapeutic targets. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Mol. Basis Dis.* 1802, 313–321.

(8) Aviner, R., and Frydman, J. (2020) Proteostasis in viral infection: unfolding the complex virus-chaperone interplay. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspect. Biol.* 12, a034090.

(9) Richter, K., Haslbeck, M., and Buchner, J. (2010) The heat shock response: life on the verge of death. *Mol. Cell* 40, 253–266.

(10) Filone, C. M., Caballero, I. S., Dower, K., Mendillo, M. L., Cowley, G. S., Santagata, S., Rozelle, D. K., Yen, J., Rubins, K. H., Hacohen, N., et al. (2014) The master regulator of the cellular stress response (HSF1) is critical for orthopoxvirus infection. *PLoS Pathog. 10*, No. e1003904.

(11) Tsai, T.-T., Chen, C.-L., Tsai, C.-C., and Lin, C.-F. (2017) Targeting heat shock factor 1 as an antiviral strategy against dengue virus replication in vitro and in vivo. *Antiviral Res.* 145, 44–53.

(12) Pan, X.-Y., Zhao, W., Zeng, X.-Y., Lin, J., Li, M.-M., Shen, X.-T., and Liu, S.-W. (2016) Heat shock factor 1 mediates latent HIV reactivation. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 26294.

(13) Wang, F.-W., Wu, X.-R., Liu, W.-J., Liao, Y.-J., Lin, S., Zong, Y.-S., Zeng, M.-S., Zeng, Y.-X., Mai, S.-J., and Xie, D. (2011) Heat shock factor 1 upregulates transcription of Epstein–Barr Virus nuclear antigen 1 by binding to a heat shock element within the BamHI-Q promoter. *Virology* 421, 184–191.

(14) Geller, R., Taguwa, S., and Frydman, J. (2012) Broad action of Hsp90 as a host chaperone required for viral replication. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Mol. Cell Res.* 1823, 698–706.

(15) Joshi, P., Sloan, B., Torbett, B. E., and Stoddart, C. A. (2013) Heat shock protein 90AB1 and hyperthermia rescue infectivity of HIV with defective cores. *Virology 436*, 162–172.

(16) Heaton, N. S., Moshkina, N., Fenouil, R., Gardner, T. J., Aguirre, S., Shah, P. S., Zhao, N., Manganaro, L., Hultquist, J. F., Noel, J., et al. (2016) Targeting viral proteostasis limits influenza virus, HIV, and dengue virus infection. *Immunity* 44, 46–58.

(17) Taguwa, S., Maringer, K., Li, X., Bernal-Rubio, D., Rauch, J. N., Gestwicki, J. E., Andino, R., Fernandez-Sesma, A., and Frydman, J. (2015) Defining Hsp70 subnetworks in dengue virus replication reveals key vulnerability in flavivirus infection. *Cell* 163, 1108–1123.

(18) Taguwa, S., Yeh, M.-T., Rainbolt, T. K., Nayak, A., Shao, H., Gestwicki, J. E., Andino, R., and Frydman, J. (2019) Zika virus dependence on host Hsp70 provides a protective strategy against infection and disease. *Cell Rep.* 26, 906–920.E3.

(19) Phillips, A. M., Gonzalez, L. O., Nekongo, E. E., Ponomarenko, A. I., McHugh, S. M., Butty, V. L., Levine, S. S., Lin, Y.-S., Mirny, L. A., and Shoulders, M. D. (2017) Host proteostasis modulates influenza evolution. *eLife 6*, No. e28652.

(20) Geller, R., Pechmann, S., Acevedo, A., Andino, R., and Frydman, J. (2018) Hsp90 shapes protein and RNA evolution to balance trade-offs between protein stability and aggregation. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 1781.

(21) Phillips, A. M., Doud, M. B., Gonzalez, L. O., Butty, V. L., Lin, Y. S., Bloom, J. D., and Shoulders, M. D. (2018) Enhanced ER proteostasis and temperature differentially impact the mutational tolerance of influenza hemagglutinin. *eLife* 7, e38795.

(22) Phillips, A. M., Ponomarenko, A. I., Chen, K., Ashenberg, O., Miao, J., McHugh, S. M., Butty, V. L., Whittaker, C. A., Moore, C. L., Bloom, J. D., et al. (2018) Destabilized adaptive influenza variants critical for innate immune system escape are potentiated by host chaperones. *PLoS Biol.* 16, No. e3000008.

(23) Kretz-Remy, C., Munsch, B., and Arrigo, A.-P. (2001) NF*k*Bdependent transcriptional activation during heat shock recovery. Thermolability of the NF*k*B.I*k*B complex. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276, 43723– 43733.

(24) Rawat, P., and Mitra, D. (2011) Cellular heat shock factor 1 positively regulates human immunodeficiency virus-1 gene expression and replication by two distinct pathways. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 39, 5879–5892.

(25) Roesch, F., Meziane, O., Kula, A., Nisole, S., Porrot, F., Anderson, I., Mammano, F., Fassati, A., Marcello, A., Benkirane, M., et al. (2012) Hyperthermia stimulates HIV-1 replication. *PLoS Pathog. 8*, No. e1002792.

(26) Hashimoto, K., Baba, M., Gohnai, K., Sato, M., and Shigeta, S. (1996) Heat shock induces HIV-1 replication in chronically infected promyelocyte cell line OM10. 1. *Arch. Virol.* 141, 439–447.

(27) Ignatenko, N. A., and Gerner, E. W. (2003) Regulation of the HIV1 long terminal repeat by mutant heat shock factor. *Exp. Cell Res.* 288, 1–8.

(28) Pan, X., Lin, J., Zeng, X., Li, W., Wu, W., Lu, W. Z., Liu, J., and Liu, S. (2018) Heat shock factor 1 suppresses the HIV-induced inflammatory response by inhibiting nuclear factor-κB. *Cell. Immunol.* 327, 26–35.

(29) Vozzolo, L., Loh, B., Gane, P. J., Tribak, M., Zhou, L., Anderson, I., Nyakatura, E., Jenner, R. G., Selwood, D., and Fassati, A. (2010) A gyrase B inhibitor impairs HIV-1 replication by targeting HSP90 and the capsid protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285, 39314–28.

(30) Anderson, I., Low, J. S., Weston, S., Weinberger, M., Zhyvoloup, A., Labokha, A. A., Corazza, G., Kitson, R. A., Moody, C. J., Marcello, A., et al. (2014) Heat shock protein 90 controls HIV-1 reactivation from latency. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 111, E1528–E1537.

(31) Urano, E., Morikawa, Y., and Komano, J. (2013) Novel role of HSP40/DNAJ in the regulation of HIV-1 replication. *JAIDS, J. Acquired Immune Defic. Syndr.* 64, 154–162.

(32) Kumar, M., and Mitra, D. (2005) Heat shock protein 40 is necessary for human immunodeficiency virus-1 Nef mediated enhancement of viral gene expression and replication. *J. Biol. Chem.* 280, 40041–50.

(33) Kumar, M., Rawat, P., Khan, S. Z., Dhamija, N., Chaudhary, P., Ravi, D. S., and Mitra, D. (2011) Reciprocal regulation of human immunodeficiency virus-1 gene expression and replication by heat shock proteins 40 and 70. *J. Mol. Biol.* 410, 944–958.

(34) Agostini, I., Popov, S., Li, J., Dubrovsky, L., Hao, T., and Bukrinsky, M. (2000) Heat-shock protein 70 can replace viral protein R of HIV-1 during nuclear import of the viral preintegration complex. *Exp. Cell Res.* 259, 398–403.

(35) Iordanskiy, S., Zhao, Y., DiMarzio, P., Agostini, I., Dubrovsky, L., and Bukrinsky, M. (2004) Heat-shock protein 70 exerts opposing effects on Vpr-dependent and Vpr-independent HIV-1 replication in macrophages. *Blood 104*, 1867–1872.

(36) Chaudhary, P., Khan, S. Z., Rawat, P., Augustine, T., Raynes, D. A., Guerriero, V., and Mitra, D. (2016) Hsp70 binding protein 1 (HspBP1) suppresses HIV-1 replication by inhibiting NF-κB mediated activation of viral gene expression. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, 1613–1629.

(37) Zuo, J., Baler, R., Dahl, G., and Voellmy, R. (1994) Activation of the DNA-binding ability of human heat shock transcription factor 1 may involve the transition from an intramolecular to an intermolecular triple-stranded coiled-coil structure. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 14, 7557–7568.

(38) Mahat, D. B., Salamanca, H. H., Duarte, F. M., Danko, C. G., and Lis, J. T. (2016) Mammalian heat shock response and mechanisms underlying its genome-wide transcriptional regulation. *Mol. Cell* 62, 63–78.

(39) Ryno, L. M., Genereux, J. C., Naito, T., Morimoto, R. I., Powers, E. T., Shoulders, M. D., and Wiseman, R. L. (2014) Characterizing the altered cellular proteome induced by the stressindependent activation of heat shock factor 1. *ACS Chem. Biol. 9*, 1273–1283.

(40) Moore, C. L., Dewal, M. B., Nekongo, E. E., Santiago, S., Lu, N. B., Levine, S. S., and Shoulders, M. D. (2016) Transportable, chemical genetic methodology for the small molecule-mediated inhibition of heat shock factor 1. *ACS Chem. Biol.* 11, 200–10.

(41) Shoulders, M. D., Ryno, L. M., Cooley, C. B., Kelly, J. W., and Wiseman, R. L. (2013) Broadly applicable methodology for the rapid and dosable small molecule-mediated regulation of transcription factors in human cells. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 135, 8129–32.

(42) Sebastian, R. M., and Shoulders, M. D. (2020) Chemical biology framework to illuminate proteostasis. *Annu. Rev. Biochem. 89*, in press.

(43) Voellmy, R. (2005) Dominant-positive and dominant-negative heat shock factors. *Methods* 35, 199–207.

(44) Del Razo, L. M., Quintanilla-Vega, B., Brambila-Colombres, E., Calderón-Aranda, E. S., Manno, M., and Albores, A. (2001) Stress proteins induced by arsenic. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 177, 132–148.

(45) Kimpton, J., and Emerman, M. (1992) Detection of replicationcompetent and pseudotyped human immunodeficiency virus with a sensitive cell line on the basis of activation of an integrated betagalactosidase gene. J. Virol. 66, 2232–2239.

(46) Wong, M. Y., DiChiara, A. S., Suen, P. H., Chen, K., Doan, N. D., and Shoulders, M. D. (2017) Adapting Secretory Proteostasis and Function Through the Unfolded Protein Response. *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.* 414, 1–25.

(47) Lee, A. H., Iwakoshi, N. N., and Glimcher, L. H. (2003) XBP-1 regulates a subset of endoplasmic reticulum resident chaperone genes in the unfolded protein response. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 23, 7448–59.

(48) Iwamoto, M., Bjorklund, T., Lundberg, C., Kirik, D., and Wandless, T. J. (2010) A general chemical method to regulate protein stability in the mammalian central nervous system. *Chem. Biol.* 17, 981–8.

(49) Shoulders, M. D., Ryno, L. M., Genereux, J. C., Moresco, J. J., Tu, P. G., Wu, C., Yates, J. R., Su, A. I., Kelly, J. W., and Wiseman, R. L. (2013) Stress-independent activation of XBP1s and/or ATF6 reveals three functionally diverse ER proteostasis environments. *Cell Rep.* 3, 1279–1292.

(50) Chen, J. J., Genereux, J. C., Qu, S., Hulleman, J. D., Shoulders, M. D., and Wiseman, R. L. (2014) ATF6 activation reduces the secretion and extracellular aggregation of destabilized variants of an amyloidogenic protein. *Chem. Biol.* 21, 1564–74.

(51) Dewal, M. B., DiChiara, A. S., Antonopoulos, A., Taylor, R. J., Harmon, C. J., Haslam, S. M., Dell, A., and Shoulders, M. D. (2015) XBP1s Links the Unfolded Protein Response to the Molecular Architecture of Mature N-Glycans. *Chem. Biol.* 22, 1301–12.

(52) Wong, M. Y., Chen, K., Antonopoulos, A., Kasper, B. T., Dewal, M. B., Taylor, R. J., Whittaker, C. A., Hein, P. P., Dell, A., Genereux, J. C., Haslam, S. M., Mahal, L. K., and Shoulders, M. D. (2018) XBP1s activation can globally remodel N-glycan structure distribution patterns. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 115, E10089–E10098.

(53) Wires, E. S., Henderson, M. J., Yan, X., Back, S., Trychta, K. A., Lutrey, M. H., and Harvey, B. K. (2017) Longitudinal monitoring of Gaussia and Nano luciferase activities to concurrently assess ER calcium homeostasis and ER stress in vivo. *PLoS One 12*, No. e0175481.

(54) Kroeger, H., Grimsey, N., Paxman, R., Chiang, W. C., Plate, L., Jones, Y., Shaw, P. X., Trejo, J., Tsang, S. H., Powers, E., Kelly, J. W., Wiseman, R. L., and Lin, J. H. (2018) The unfolded protein response regulator ATF6 promotes mesodermal differentiation. *Sci. Signaling 11*, No. eaan5785.

(55) Subramanian, A., Tamayo, P., Mootha, V. K., Mukherjee, S., Ebert, B. L., Gillette, M. A., Paulovich, A., Pomeroy, S. L., Golub, T. R., Lander, E. S., et al. (2005) Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 102, 15545–15550.

(56) Todorova, T., Bock, F. J., and Chang, P. (2015) Poly (ADPribose) polymerase-13 and RNA regulation in immunity and cancer. *Trends Mol. Med.* 21, 373–384.

(57) Ghimire, D., Rai, M., and Gaur, R. (2018) Novel host restriction factors implicated in HIV-1 replication. *J. Gen. Virol.* 99, 435–446.

(58) Zhu, Y., Chen, G., Lv, F., Wang, X., Ji, X., Xu, Y., Sun, J., Wu, L., Zheng, Y.-T., and Gao, G. (2011) Zinc-finger antiviral protein inhibits HIV-1 infection by selectively targeting multiply spliced viral mRNAs for degradation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 108, 15834–15839.

(59) Fujimoto, M., Takii, R., Takaki, E., Katiyar, A., Nakato, R., Shirahige, K., and Nakai, A. (2017) The HSF1–PARP13–PARP1 complex facilitates DNA repair and promotes mammary tumorigenesis. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 1638.

(60) Fujimoto, M., Takii, R., Katiyar, A., Srivastava, P., and Nakai, A. (2018) Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase 1 promotes the human heat shock response by facilitating heat shock transcription factor 1 binding to DNA. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 38, No. e00051-18.

(61) The ENCODE Project Consortium (2007) Identification and analysis of functional elements in 1% of the human genome by the ENCODE pilot project. *Nature* 447, 799.

(62) Gurer, C., Cimarelli, A., and Luban, J. (2002) Specific incorporation of heat shock protein 70 family members into primate lentiviral virions. *J. Virol.* 76, 4666–4670.

(63) Franke, E. K., Yuan, H. E. H., and Luban, J. (1994) Specific incorporation of cyclophilin A into HIV-1 virions. *Nature* 372, 359–362.

(64) Bartz, S. R., Pauza, C. D., Ivanyi, J., Jindal, S., Welch, W. J., and Malkovsky, M. (1994) An Hsp60 related protein is associated with purified HIV and SIV. *J. Med. Primatol.* 23, 151–154.

(65) Matysiak, J., Lesbats, P., Mauro, E., Lapaillerie, D., Dupuy, J.-W., Lopez, A. P., Benleulmi, M. S., Calmels, C., Andreola, M.-L., Ruff, M., et al. (2017) Modulation of chromatin structure by the FACT histone chaperone complex regulates HIV-1 integration. *Retrovirology 14*, 39.